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In his essay, *The Paradox of the End*, theorist Iddo Landau argues that whilst goals provide individual purpose and focus, achieving the goal can result in, “A sense of insignificance and emptiness (where) we feel that in attaining the goal we have lost the meaningfulness and balance we experienced while we were striving toward it ... the struggle is more gratifying than the achievement of the end”.[i] Drawing on a rich and varied set of cultural and philosophical references – including the writings of Nietzsche, Kierkegaard and Schopenhauer – Landau explores the philosophical dilemma of both wanting and not wanting something. He suggests that the solution might be to stipulate a transcendent end that would be impossible to attain, or alternatively that, “we ought to try and not try to achieve our ends, at one and the same time ... We should try, then, both to realize and not to realize our goals”.[ii]

This sense of oscillation between trying and not trying underpins much of the work of Vlatka Horvat, whose work is currently exhibited at the Battersea Arts Centre. In her work a particularly Sisyphean logic is conjured through the economic or diagrammatic vernacular of the instruction manual or informational guide. Failure and repetition become explored as playfully propositional as much as existential conditions of lived experience: tested out at the point between the “actual and staged, between experiencing and pretending”.[iii] For example, in the photographic series *Hiding* Horvat attempts to conceal herself behind hopelessly inadequate studio props – in the crease of the corner of the room or by burying her head in her own skirt – which always fall short of her unrealistic expectations. Alternatively, in *Searching*, she repeatedly plunges into a plethora of unlikely hiding places, ‘losing’ her head in the hopeless pursuit of something that remains undefined, undeclared or seemingly unattainable. Her quest instead becomes an accumulation of potential solutions to a given problem or operates as a foil or *Macguffin* that enables the interrogation of the state of indeterminacy itself. The Sisyphean logic of an arbitrary assignment is explored further in a video work, where in a woodland location Horvat relentlessly tries to situate herself in the centre of the camera frame, tentatively shifting her position in the search of the perfect solution to her self-imposed brief. It is never wholly clear whether the task has been successfully completed. She eventually walks towards and then disappears behind a tree, where there is a brief interlude or pause before she reappears and the action begins again.

Horvat’s work is often serial in nature where possibilities become endlessly flagged and then dismantled. Seriality undermines any sense of stable logic for the work through its entropic condition of equivalence, which depletes or deflects the charge of the individual iterations and allows for the co-existence of paradoxical propositions that render meaning *undecidable*. In the photographic work in particular, failing gestures remain as visible traces within each catalogued series, a visual form of *sous rature* that indicates a process always under erasure, where wholly inadequate actions are used to articulate an unrepresentable concept within the morphological limits of a given language. The failure of each repeated attempt operates as the momentum for the work, where unseen or unspoken restrictions become the impetus for the development of new permutations and performative solutions. Here repetition becomes generative, it always produces something new or different. According to Jean-Paul Maritain, “repetition or reiteration involves a movement towards the possibility of something else ... repetition always invokes a disconcerting reinvention and a certain inventive mobility”.[iv] For cultural theorist Roger Caillois, an infinitely repeatable (yet also potentially open-ended) pursuit or action operates at the heart of play for, “the possibilities of ludus are almost infinite ... what to begin with seems to be a situation susceptible to indefinite repetition turns out to be capable of producing ever-new combinations”.[v] The process of repetition inevitably confuses or breaks down the rhythm or logic within the activity, enabling the possibility for moments of irresolution to become fore-grounded or privileged. Within this disrupted, deconstructed or even inverted syntax of performativity, the task might become one of trying to produce an authentic experience of *inbetweenness*. 
Horvat’s work often has a sense of cataloguing or trying to exhaust the possibilities within a given rule; it is “structured as a predetermined system or framework inside which a more improvised, makeshift or provisional kind of activity takes place”. Whilst the rule serves to delimit or determine certain actions, it also functions as a point of creative pressure or leverage against which to search for unexpected translations or performative loopholes. Johanna Burton suggests that, “taking up a model of ‘system’ rather than ascribing to any one of its literal ... permutations is to question the systemicity itself, to experiment with rather than adhere to any rigorously defined set of terms”. Politically speaking, this opening out of possibilities within a limited frame becomes a way of creating the potential for alternative modes of existence within situations that had been perceived as inflexible or irrevocably constant. Alternatively, each proposition is deliberately sabotaged and the failure of the task becomes a way of postponing resolution, a tactic for avoiding definitive declarations or decisions that could then disable the potentiality of the situation. For Horvat, “the event itself is always absent or does not take place, so the event becomes the act of getting ready.”

Horvat’s makeshift non-performances refuse to either achieve their desired end or offer the transcendental possibilities promised through ‘eternally returning’ action. In the video Restless, a lone person walks into frame and tests out all the different seats in the theatre in search of a perfect vantage point that is ultimately never found. Akin to other works such as ‘At the Door’, though the performative task is staged as a disinvested conceptual game, inevitably – as a body performs within a system – the “demonstration (of an idea) at some point becomes more real”.

Horvat critically choreographs this ebb and flow of inhabitation where, “the reflexivity of the works allows the pieces to tread the line between creating a fiction and deflating it.” Actions are performed ambiguously at an interstice where, “reality and identity ... are negotiated through the doubling of self-as-character / character-as-self”. Through its repeated failures, the logic of Sisyphean labour in Horvat’s practice begins to collapse over time, or in Sol LeWitt’s terms, “The logic of a piece or series of pieces is a device that is used at times, only to be ruined”. The initial goal is transformed into an arbitrary focus or foil, which is attempted in the hope of actually not succeeding or of actively producing failure. In one sense this could be understood in terms of Landau’s ‘try/not try’ paradigm, as the means through which to glean the meaningfulness of action whilst deferring the disappointment of its ending. A rule is drawn. An action is required. An attempt is made. Over and over, again and again – a task is set, the task fails, and the task is repeated. *Ad infinitum*
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